UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,178	05/22/2006	Kaoru Yokota	2006_0680A	4029
	7590 10/22/200 , LIND & PONACK L	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Stree	t, N.W.	ZUNIGA, JACKIE		
Suite 400 East Washington, DO	C 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			2458	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		10/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/580,178	YOKOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JACKIE ZUNIGA	2458		

		ON CORRE ZONION	2.400	
The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2009</u> FAIL	S TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final reject application, applicant must timely file application in condition for allowance for Continued Examination (RCE) in periods: 	e one of the following to e; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affic eal (with appeal fee) in compliar	davit, or other evidence, v nce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked,	period for reply expire la check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 Chave been filed is the date for purposes of determined and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recommany reduce any earned patent term adjustment NOTICE OF APPEAL	FR 1.136(a). The date or rmining the period of extended expiration date of the served by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amo hortened statutory period for reply	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	. A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 4 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any AMENDMENTS	1.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed a (a) They raise new issues that wo (b) They raise the issue of new many 	uld require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see I		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or	the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially		he issues for
(d)	-	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in complia		21. See attached Notice of Non-	-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the	following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(non-allowable claim(s).			•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wor The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.	uld be rejected is prov be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 C 	showing of good and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reaso 	er evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is en REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/O	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has See continuation sheet.		t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disc.</i> 13. ☐ Other:	losure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Joseph E. Avellino/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Un	it 2458			

Continuation of 11. Applicant argues: The combination of Nakano and Takashima fails to disclose or suggest that "the index information output unit outputs the index information that indicates the device key and that is converted to a format that is displayable on the screen of the display apparatus, such that the index information is output to the display apparatus based on the instruction received by the instruction receiving unit"

Nakano discloses, the device assignment key unit 103 outputs device keys, device key identification information and ID information to the user apparatus, the key information storage unit receives the device keys, the device key identification information and the ID information and records the received device keys, device key identification information, and ID information, this information will be available to users for encryption/decryption of the content [fig. 2, 8, 9, 10, paragraphs 0212-0230]. NAkano does not explicitly disclose the index information being displayed to the user. However Takashima discloses the index information being displayed in a format recognizable by the user [paragraph 0105].